

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,086	08/20/2003	Ishay Rabinowitz	25646	4960	
20529 75	590 12/12/2005		EXAMINER		
NATH & ASSOCIATES 112 South West Street			HWU, DAVIS D		
Alexandria, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3752	3752	
			D	DATE MAN ED. 12/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Supplemental	10/644,086	RABINOWITZ, ISHAY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Davis D. Hwu	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oct 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8-15 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3.5.7.8/7.11.12/7 and 16-20 is/are object to restriction and/or	ected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the discrete Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original	pted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected in the second of the attached of the attached of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received. have been received in Application to the second of the second	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152. -(d) or (f). on No d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	PTO-413)				
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Act	ion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20051128				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Off PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3752

Response to Amendment (Supplemental)

- 1. Applicant's amendment and arguments of October 17, 2005 are acknowledged and entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12-15, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bright, Sr.

Bright, Sr. discloses a pipe 10 connectable to a pressurized fluid source via a first end of the pipe, the pipe comprising a drip-irrigation plug emitter 12 mounted integrally therein, the plug emitter having an inlet in fluid communication with the first end of the pipe, a drip outlet in fluid communication with a second end of the pipe, and a flow-restricting path therebetween, the emitter plugging the pipe with respect to any fluid flow except for the flow through the flow-restricting path. Bright, Sr. also discloses a process of extruding the pipe and installing the emitters onto the extruded pipe. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the emitter to the pipe during the extrusion process of the pipe through the teaching of Bright, Sr. Cutting a continuous long pipe into a plurality of sections as recited in claim 2 is an obvious matter in the design process in order to provide a plurality of emitters. Regarding claim 4, the plug emitter would form a swelling at the

Application/Control Number: 10/644,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

outer surface of the pipe upon installation because the pipe is deformable and the aperture of the pipe is smaller than the stem of the emitter which fits into the aperture.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bright, Sr. in view of Dumitrascu et al.

Dumitrascu et al. teaches a drip irrigation tube comprising drip emitters 12 having a flow restricting path formed as a flow labyrinth to reduce the flow rate and pressure of the water discharged. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the emitter of Bright, Sr. by providing a flow restricting path formed as a flow labyrinth as taught by Dumitrascu et al. to reduce the flow rate and pressure of the water being discharged.

6. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bright, Sr. in view of Dumitrascu et al. as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Hunter. Hunter teaches a drip irrigation system comprising a filter 116 in the flow passageway to filter out particles and prevent clogging. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Bright, Sr. and Dumitrascu et al by incorporating a filter means upstream of the flow labyrinth as taught by Hunter to filter out particles before they enter and clog the labyrinth. Regarding claim 11, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3752

7. Claims 3, 5, 7, 8/7, 11, 12/7, 16-19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu

DAVIS HWU PRIMARY EXAMINER